

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-23 are pending in the application. It is gratefully acknowledged that Claims 6, 9, 16 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 11-15, 17 and 19-23 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Kang (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0187818). The Examiner has rejected Claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang.

Regarding the rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e), the Examiner states that Kang discloses all of the limitations of Claim 1. Kang discloses a camera module being rotatably coupled to two inner sides of the rotation-support parts formed in an upper end of the main body, particularly shown in Fig. 4. Kang does not disclose a camera lens module where a front cover includes an opening portion for exposure of the camera lens and a housing is provided with a partition in a predetermined internal position, wherein a through-hole is formed in the partition to expose the lens containing recess, as recited in amended Claim 1. Contrary to Claim 1, Kang discloses, "Herein, it is desirable for rotation-support parts 14, a connecting part 26 and a rotation axis 32 of camera module 30 being installed as a pair." (paragraph 27) In addition, Kang discloses rotation axis 32 having a male hinge member, while only a rear cover of the present invention, not the front cover, includes a male hinge member. Thus, Kang only discloses a pair of rotation axes 32 of camera module 30, but does not disclose a camera lens module where a front cover includes an opening portion for exposure of the camera lens and a housing is provided with a partition in a predetermined internal position, wherein a through-hole is formed in the partition to expose the lens containing recess, as recited in amended Claim 1. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 2-5, 7, 8 and 11-13 are dependent upon independent Claim 1. Therefore, these claims are believed to be patentable for at least the same reasons given for Claim 1.

Regarding the rejection of independent Claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e), the Examiner states that Kang discloses all of the limitations of Claim 14. Kang discloses a camera module being rotatably coupled to two inner sides of the rotation-support parts formed in both sides of an upper end of the main body, particularly shown in Fig. 4. Kang does not disclose a camera lens module is rotatably connected to a module receiving portion formed in one side of a top end of the main body, as recited in Claim 14. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 15, 17, 19 and 20 are dependent upon independent Claim 14. Therefore, these claims are believed to be patentable for at least the same reasons given for Claim 14.

Regarding the rejection of independent Claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e), the Examiner states that Kang discloses all of the limitations of Claim 21. Kang discloses a camera module being rotatably coupled to two inner sides of the rotation-support parts formed in an upper end of the main body, particularly shown in Fig. 4. Kang does not disclose a camera lens module where a housing is provided with a partition in a predetermined internal position, as recited in Claim 21. Contrary to Claim 21, Kang discloses both rotation axes 32 having a male hinge member, while only a rear cover of the present invention, not the front cover, includes a male hinge member. Thus, Kang only discloses a pair of rotation axes 32 of camera module 30, but does not disclose a camera lens module where a housing is provided with a partition in a predetermined internal position, as recited in Claim 21. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.


Claims 22 and 23 are dependent upon independent Claim 21. Therefore, these claims are believed to be patentable for at least the same reasons given for Claim 21.

Turning to dependent Claim 10 which was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), Claim 10 is dependent upon independent Claim 1. Therefore, Claim 10 is believed to be patentable for at least the same reason given for Claim 1. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the preceding amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all

pending claims herein, namely Claims 1-23, are in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul J. Farrell", is written over a horizontal line.

Paul J. Farrell

Reg. No. 33,494

Attorney for Applicants

DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP  
333 Earle Ovington Blvd.  
Uniondale, New York 11553  
Tel: (516) 228-8484  
Fax: (516) 228-8516

PJF/JWK/lb